

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
68/846,658	05/01/97	ADAIR	J CARP-0057

<input type="checkbox"/>	HM12/1202	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	-----------	--------------------------

FRANCIS A PAINTIN
WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ
MACKIEWICZ AND NORRIS
ONE LIBERTY PLACE 46TH FLOOR
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103

EXAMINER

BURKE, J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1642

22

DATE MAILED: 12/02/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action	Application No. 08/846,658	Appl. Sct(s) Adair et al
	Examiner Julie E. Burke, (Reeves), Ph.D.	Group Art Unit 1642

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]

a) expires six months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.

Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.

Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on _____ (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).

Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on 5 Nov 1999 has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:

The proposed amendment(s):

will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.

will not be entered because:

they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).

they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).

they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.

they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: new claim contains new matter by "replacing heavy or light chain amino acids at residues 48, 49, 71, etc", while spec provides support for replacing light chain residues at such positions (Table 1, p20). See Other

Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):

had the amdt been entered, it would have overcome the 112, new matter rejection for claims 24 and 28.

Newly proposed or amended claims _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.

The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

the amdt was not entered. the response asserts a desire to provoke an interference, however the claims need to be in condition for allowance before an interference may be determined by the BPAI.

The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):

Claims allowed: none

Claims objected to: none

Claims rejected: 24-31

The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ has has not been approved by the Examiner.

Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____ .

Other New claim was numbered "32" however, because a claim numbered 32 has already been entered and canceled in this application, it is suggested that any new claims be numbered correctly beginning with number 49. New matter of proposed new claim raises new 112 1st, 2nd and 102/103 issues and would require further search and further consideration.

JULIE BURKE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

J. Burke